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MR. WATTS:
Okay. Roll call, Kim.
MS. BARON: John Poteet?

MR. POTEET:
(No response.)
MS. BARON:
George Floyd?
MR. FLOYD:
Here.
MS. BARON: Tony Cormier?

MR. CORMIER: (No response.)

MS. BARON: Matt Pederson?

MR. PEDERSON: Here.

MS. BARON: Richard Watts?

MR. WATTS: Here.

MS. BARON: Steve Olave?

MR. OLAVE:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Ricky Donnell?
MR. DONNELL:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Darty Smith?
MR. SMITH:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Dino Taylor?
MR. TAYLOR:
(No response.)
MS. BARON:
And Jefferey Britt?
MR. BRITT:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Mr. Vice-Chairman, we have a quorum.
MR. WATTS:
All right. Anybody for public
comments?
MS. BARON:
No, sir.

MR. WATTS:
All right. We're going to go to the adoption and approval of the minutes from the last meeting.

MR. SMITH:
I make a motion.
MR. WATTS:
Darty.
MR. DONNELL:
Second.
MR. WATTS:
Items for discussion and action. Financial matters.

MR. OLAVE:
May I make a motion, Mr. Chairman?
Could we move up the dealer inventory plates one step, so Ms. Jill -- Ms. Jill's time here is --

MR. WATTS:
You can make the motion, yes.
MR. OLAVE:
I'd like to make that motion.
MR. SMITH:
Second.
MR. OLAVE:

We're going to let you have it, Jill. MR. WATTS:

Ms. Jill, we'll let you have the floor.

MS. JARREAU:
Oh, excellent. So following a
meeting last week or prior to even the meeting last week, we were contacted by Derek and Kim, who told us that you guys had some concerns about the amendments to the dealer plate policy where it limited the number of dealer plates that a licensed entity could get for one -- per salesperson. You guys suggested that we increase that amount to five per -- five per dealership or licensed dealership, and then one per each additional salesperson.

We actually had, I think, a very productive meeting at Motor Vehicles last week. We heard your concerns and discussed it with all parties at Motor Vehicles. We have since put a policy amendment in, which should be published -- it would have been published either close of business friday or today, that amended the total amount to three per licensed dealership, plus an additional one per every
salesperson that's licensed by that -- by that licensee. We think that that's a happy medium. We will continue to monitor it. If we see that it is -- continues to be a problem or that the problems have been resolved, we can go back and further tweak it later. But we think that that's a good start, a move in the right direction. I think it will hopefully be acceptable or feasible for everybody. Does anybody have any questions.

MR. WATTS:
Yes. I've got a question.
MS. JARREAU:
Sure.
MR. WATTS:
The request for additional plates, that paragraph in there, how big a problem is that? Some dealers say they might need more plates. Well, there's a paragraph in there. Is that a long process or they've got to just make a statement of why they need it or? MS. JARREAU:

So it's not a long process. They -you get routed up to administration for review by myself and by Commissioner St. Germain. And
then we would just take that into account and see --

MR. WATTS:
Okay.
MS. JARREAU:
-- what we think about that
situation. What we have -- what we have received thus far, though -- and I do want to caution everybody -- we've gotten three or four before the -- before this policy change, we got three or four that elected to request additional plates, but it was, I need additional plates to operate, period. Well, when we say justification, we need to know why --

MR. WATTS:
Yes.

MS. JARREAU:
-- because there's a method to our
madness. We're going to use that information to basically look at our process and say, is this something that we haven't thought about, that we need to address or whatever? So if it's very generic, (a), it doesn't give us enough to make a determination of whether or
not we can approve it, and, (b), it doesn't let us know if there's a bigger problem that we haven't thought about. So we do ask if anybody -- or if y'all hear of anybody that is going to make application for additionals, we do ask that y'all let them know, please be specific. It's not that we're trying to get all into the ins and outs of your business, but there are legitimate reasons that we want that additional information.

MR. WATTS:
Okay.
MR. BRITT:
Well, that can curtail some of the original problem that started all this. MS. JARREAU:

And that's absolutely true. MR. BRITT:

And that -- and I go back to what we discussed last meeting. I brought up dollar amounts per year per dealership and John used the word "transaction." And I like that word better. I mean, if you -- if you check out a dealer and see their amount of transactions a year that they're doing here in the state, that
should help you curtail, that tells you and lets you know if they're viable to have those other plates instead of somebody trying to use the system to get -- to do it, so.
(Tony Cormier arrived.)
MR. OLAVE:
That's not foolproof either, though. There's a lot of -- there's a lot of dealers that use their dealer plates to conduct wholesale transactions that aren't part of that same record.

MR. BRITT:
Absolutely, absolutely.
MR. OLAVE:
So you could, in fact, be penalizing a dealer that has quite a few transactions -MR. BRITT:

Absolutely.
MR. OLAVE:
-- and a need for those plates.
And I would offer this, Ms. Jill:
First of all, thank you so much for showing up today and coming to see us, and definitely thank you for considering and compromising some of the rules that came into place. I think we
all agree, and we've discussed at length, the need for some reform. I would offer this as -you know, I've had a lot of thoughts about this. And I'm a dealer and understand the logistics of dealing and things like that. The first thing I'd offer is, you know, commerce in our industry has been conducted this way for a long time. And we can sit here and say, you know, the need for multiple plates isn't necessary. I've heard from an investigator why can't they just take a plate off of one vehicle and put it on -- you know, take -- just move the plate around. And that's all fine. We can sit here and address this as, you know, specifics. This is what should -- could happen. This is what should happen. But at the end of the day, this is how commerce has been done in our industry. People have had the need for multiple plates and gotten used to having multiple plates and leaving them on a car when it goes to reconditioning or family members that are, maybe, on insurance driving on a vehicle. So it's a pretty broad aspect as to the use of the plates. And $I$ think in my -- just in my
opinion, the effort that we're trying to make is to control a small percentage -- to control the small percentage of dealers out there that have, maybe, larcenous intent or nefarious ideas as far as the points go. But I do believe that in some regards, we're penalizing some of the industry that is used to dealing in commerce that way with these -- with these restrictions.

The second part that I'm still trying to grasp is logistically. Who -- like, right now, we need to verify -- now, there's a lot more work that goes into the new rules and regulations, because now we need to verify how many licensed salespeople the dealership has. We need to verify if they're on the insurance. And then how do we reconcile that moving forward? Like, are there any limitations on how many salespeople a dealership can have? Because if we're -- if -- again, just thinking this through, if somebody is willing to, you know, pay the extra $\$ 25$ to license a salesperson, put them on the insurance, get the 40 tags that they need for the nefarious aspects of their business, and then drop the
salespeople and the insurance, because they fired them -- and, remember, this is a very fluid industry. There's people that, you know, don't stay very long, people -- so who -- I would -- I would ask, who is going to reconcile all of the changes that each dealership can make in order to either, (a), get the tags that they want for whatever purposes, whether it's legitimate business or not. MS. JARREAU:

So our business process prior to -what you guys did not see, because, you know, it's a lot of minutia that you probably don't need to or want to be privy to. Each policy that the Office of Motor Vehicles has, has an associated procedure. Part of that procedure, even prior to this iteration of the policy, was that we had to verify through the Motor Vehicle -- or Used Motor Vehicle Commission's database website -- publicly accessible website that the number of salespersons licensed actually equated to those plates that they were asking for. We started that process about a year ago. We do understand that, you know -- and, look, we were not trying to do any kind of
shock-and-awe campaign by any means. I
understand that the way that it was is the way that it had been for years, you know. Our intention was not by any means to cause a huge uproar in the industry and say, oh, my God, they're trying to limit the ability to do what we need to do. Because we by no means were trying to limit the things that you need to do legitimately to conduct your business. What we were trying to do is -- and, you know, for your sake as well as ours, I don't think that any of you want those nefarious people associated to your industry.

MR. OLAVE:
Oh, absolutely, not.
MS. JARREAU:
You know, because, unfortunately, the good suffer for the bad, right. It makes everybody look bad. We felt that because of the number of complaints that we had gotten, those were merit not just to -- I mean, we get complaints all day long. But because of the number of complaints that we had with merit, that there was something that we had truly had to do. You know, what you see a lot of times
-- because motor vehicles is a small world, whether it's in this state or another state, there are trends that kind of happen. We are hoping by limiting it that those bad actors that may have been conducting business in this state either move on -- not that I -- not that I wish to push that burden onto another jurisdiction, but that's what you see a lot of times. When we limit it, they just go to another state. You know, I hate to be that person that says, well, you know, just move on. But, in this case, I'd much prefer if it's going to be somewhere, not be here. At least let's do what we can do. So when we first started getting complaints of those situations going on, when it -- when it became evident that we had a systemic problem, that's when we actually changed our policy initially. There was one iteration of change prior to the one that limited the number of dealer plates and that was how we were to address cancelling dealer plates of those people that we found to be in -- I don't want to say criminal activity, but doing things that were not in line with what the purpose of dealer plates were. Once
we got that cancellation process in place, we did that for a couple months, and then we limited the dealer plates thereafter when that first change occurred with cancelling dealer plates. That's when we actively started checking the licensing. Prior to that, I can't say that we even did that. So we've internally tightened down -- tightened down the process as well, which is pieces that you guys really would not have been impacted by or at least if you would have been, you would have -- you would know it by this point. So there have been a multitude of changes that you many or may not be aware of.

In addition to that, once we got past that internal process, we started checking the insurance policy. I do want to let y'all know -- let me just say, before we were asking to see that dec page or the insurance certificate, but in addition, who was -- who was authorized on there, we did remove that. I do want y'all to be aware that we did that piece of it, that verification, really for your benefit, not for ours, because, you know, we were really limiting based on the number of licensed
dealers. We just wanted to make sure that the two were kind of hand-in-hand in the event that you had 12 licensed people and only three on your insurance certificate. We were telling you guys, you know, it really should match. Well, in all actuality, you can let whomever you want that's covered on that insurance operate -- or whoever is covered within that policy operate. The only reason we were really looking into that is because we did have a few instances where people that were not covered or they were not being used properly were involved in situations that resulted in accidents, things like that, not being covered on an insurance policy and the dealer would have suffered. Because of that, they had to personally cover those expenses. But at the end of the day, that's for you guys to make sure that you're operating within that. Our responsibility is to make sure you have the appropriate insurance. Your responsibility and your insurance companies's responsibility and, possibly, the Used Motor Vehicle Commission's responsibility is to make sure that you're doing what's within that policy. So at the end
of the day, we removed it. You know, just make sure that you guys are aware that just because we removed it, it doesn't mean you shouldn't be conscientious of it. It's just not something that really served any purpose at a motor vehicle level, if that makes sense.

Other than that, you know, I -- like
I said, I think that -- I think that what we have come to is a happy medium. You know, if we find that it's not or that y'all have problems or we have problems, then we'll have to work between us and see if we can come up with something better. But there are back end processes that have been done for sometime -or let me say sometime within the last six months, even before this change, that would have kind of tried to start aligning all the different things. Is it -- is it a perfect answer? No. I mean, I think there is some definite need of reform outside of just that dealer plate law that would really lock it down, but it's a start, you know.

MR. OLAVE:
Yes, ma'am, yes, ma'am. And I've got all the confidence in the world that the -- you
know, the partnership that exists between DMV and the Used Motor Vehicle Commission will continue. I just see that if the spirit of the rule change is to eliminate the misuse or misappropriation of tags, I'm not sure that the rule has done that, only because there's no limitation on how many salespeople a dealer can have. And then how do you follow up with -again, if people are willing to find a way to misuse the system, they're going to find a way to do that even with new rules. So, again, if the spirit is to control that, then how are we going to control somebody signing up 50 salespeople? And then it becomes cumbersome to do that. Like, whose responsibility is it going to be when a salesman's license gets cancelled to find out that they have a tag in that person's name, and then cancelled that tag. I just see that being very cumbersome for both entities.

MS. JARREAU:
I don't disagree with that. I know that what we can limit or maintain or regulate only is what falls under -- the laws and the rules that that fall under that title and
registration section. Dealer plates happens to be that. Any kind of widespread change or additional modifications that would be needed to limit salespersons or those kind of things, that really kind of falls outside -- or not kind of. It does fall outside of the rules and the laws that Motor Vehicles has oversight over. So, you know, that's why I'm saying I don't know that this is the silver bullet. I think that it is a part of it, you know. I think there may need to be some additional systemic changes, but that -- because the majority of those things fall under the rules that you guys are responsible for, that that may be something that y'all want to internally discuss. And we're more than happy to chime in or tell you what we think, but -- or work together. But, really, ultimately, at the end of the day, that's something that doesn't fall under the regulations that we oversee or enforce.

MR. DONNELL:
Well, Jill, let me ask you: Would putting a cap -- a number on that, would that help you-all?

MS. JARREAU: Of salespersons?

MR. DONNELL: Just a cap per dealer, you know. MS. JARREAU: Of the number of plates?

MR. DONNELL:
Plates, yes.
MS. JARREAU:
I truly think that's through policy and the limit that we've imposed per policy, which is within our purview, I think that we have done that already, you know. If for some reason you think that because there is no limit on the number of salespersons that that becomes a problem, that may be something that needs to be handled under that 32 section of the law that is the oversight for which the Used Motor Vehicles is responsible for.

MR. DONNELL:
Well, you know, if there's a will,
there's a way with a bad dealer and $I$ just thought, maybe, a cap.

MS. JARREAU:

> I agree.

MR. DONNELL:
I think --
MR. OLAVE:
I think -- I think there may be a simpler way of doing both things, you know, appealing to the industry and, you know, regulating to a certain degree how many tags and, you know, the opportunity for using those tags for misappropriations, so.

MS. JARREAU:
I don't disagree. But, you know, in a nutshell, the definition of what a dealer plate can be used for is very, very broad. Probably -- well, not probably. I know that that was constructed that way on purpose. You know, is that -- because that does fall under the laws and the rules that we're responsible for oversight of, you know. Is that something that could be amended? Possibly, but we would never do that or even seek to do that without getting input from all the licensing authorities that regulate the licensees that have those plates issued to them. So, yes, it's possible. And you're right. There's -if there's a will, there's a way. So do I
think this is the end of it? No. I think it is a step in the right direction. It's no different than law enforcement, you know. You're always going to have nefarious people. You just have to stay one step ahead or address it as it comes up.

MR. WATTS:
If somebody comes in there today --
I'm sure all eyes are open -- and request 20 dealer plates, I'm sure everybody in the building is going to, why do you want 20 dealer plates?

MS. JARREAU:
Well -- and that was what we were
doing.
MR. WATTS:
Now, it's right now, you know, very
uphill.
MS. JARREAU:
Yes.
MR. WATTS:
But, I say, a year down the road, for instance, will they have any problems with pulling dealer plates? Or what do you do? How long have you been in business?

MR. OLAVE:
Well, it sounds like you can do that if you have the salespeople licensed. So if you follow the rules that are in place, why would there be any suspicion then?

MR. WATTS:
That's what $I$ mean.
MR. OLAVE:
Right, right.
MR. WATTS:
That's what I'm saying. That's something we have to address.

MS. JARREAU:
That's correct. And at some point --
you know, we have a very good working
relationship with the law enforcement community as well. So what we find generally is if there is some type of nefarious activity going on, whether it's the same that's been going on currently or something else, they generally reach out to us. And at that point, we start looking into it, because you're right. People always find creative and inventive ways, you know. There are some people in the world, if they would -- they are just so smart and if
they would gear their brain in the right direction, they -- what they could achieve would be unbelievable.

MR. WATTS:
Yes.
MS. JARREAU:
But, you know, law enforcement generally does reach out to us. So even if becomes commonplace that our employees become, you know, just old hat here, let me issue these even if it's within the confines of those policies, they're generally pretty good at seeing trends. And if something starts occurring that's out of the norm and/or law enforcement reaches out to us, and then, of course, much like we're doing now, we would address that, you know.

MR. HALLACK:
Let me say this, also: You know, we
have in our law if a dealer misuses a temporary tag or if a dealer misuses a dealer plate, we have a law that addresses that. When the field came to me and said we have this problem, we have some dealers in this state who are operating solely for the purpose of selling
their inventory plates. So they came to me and said, is there anything we can do? Well, that law that makes it a violation to misuse the temporary tag and misuse the dealer plate did not cover the inventory plate. So that's one of the things that we can do to help Office of Motor Vehicles enforce this, is to include that within our law. The same statute that makes it a violation for a dealer to misuse the temporary tag and misuse the dealer plate, we just simply need to include the dealer inventory plate. So I think that's something that we need to add to our legislation coming up soon. It's a -- it's a two-word rewrite that we can get done. And this -- you know, when we became aware of it, I want you to know this, we were aware of four dealers who were -who had this practice. And when the field looked into it, they didn't have an inventory. They didn't have a legitimate business. They were almost all foreign. And so as soon as we became aware of the problem, we closed these people down. So they couldn't get any plates then.

MR. WATTS:

So what do we do to get this changed under the statute?

MR. HALLACK:
Well, yes, $I$ mean, the same statute
that makes it a violation for misusing the temp tag and misusing the dealer plate, just include inventory in the same statute.

MR. WATTS:
Duly noted. Do we need a motion to
add it?
MR. HALLACK:
Well, the session starts --
MR. WATTS:
Oh, okay. We have to go to session
to do that?
MR. HALLACK:
Yes.
MR. PARNELL:
It starts in March.
MS. MORRIS:
March 6th.
MS. JARREAU:
$6 t h$ or $9 t h, y e s$.
MR. HALLACK:
Sure. Nobody would oppose that.

MR. WATTS:
I don't think so.
MR. HALLACK:
I mean, after what we saw with the problem and how it reached the media.

MR. BRITT:
So we need to come up with the
language to have it amended. MR. HALLACK:

Like I said, two words. MR. BRITT:

We need to come up with it, and then
find somebody to run the ball with it for us. MR. HALLACK:

Right. But y'all agree, right?
That's something that y'all would like to see done?

MR. PARNELL:
That's definitely something that should have been in that language.

MR. BRITT:
To start with.
MR. HALLACK:
Sure.
MR. BRITT:

Just overlooked in the beginning. MR. HALLACK:

Yes. Didn't have this problem. We didn't have dealers misusing inventory plates. MR. WATTS:

We have no criminal charge against somebody misusing a dealer plate like that or selling stolen plates, except we're going to pull their license, you're no longer a dealer, get out of here.

MR. HALLACK:
They do.
MR. BRITT:
They have a criminal charge. We
don't.
MR. HALLACK:
Right.
MS. JARREAU:
So, you know, we do have state police that we work with or locals that we work with when there truly is criminal activity going on. There's sometimes a fine line between what they're doing and whether it's a criminal charge or whether it's, like, an administrative violation. But, generally, anything we've come
across thus far where they're doing something truly nefarious, there is a criminal violation somewhere sufficient for them to be charged and those dealer plates be taken away.

MR. WATTS:
Okay.
MR. HALLACK:
But that -- the enforceability of that, though, it really depends on the individual officer. MS. JARREAU:

Right.
MR. HALLACK:
Sometimes, they -- I mean, you can
imagine, police are -- have a lot of work to do, period. Picking up a plate is not something that he's going to make that a priority.

MS. JARREAU:
He doesn't necessarily go pick up the plate. More often than not, what they do is, they'll -- you know, a lot of times, you have filing false public records. You have injuring public records, those type of charges that they will charge them with in addition to whatever
their criminal activity actually is. And we'll cancel based on that.

MR. BRITT:
Yes. By the time it gets to the point of picking up plates, they're loaded down pretty hard.

MS. JARREAU:
Right.
MR. OLAVE:
Do we include that in any of our audits, dealer plates?

MR. HALLACK:
What do we do to verify salespeople?
I know they send in an application. I know they have to be on the insurance. But it's not, like --

MR. WATTS:
They do a criminal check. They do a criminal background check. MR. HALLACK:

Right. But to see if that's a legitimate person. Like Steve said, what if there were 40 reported salespeople's application and he had two cars on the lot. MS. JARREAU:

I know the inventory involves a gray area.

MR. ALLMOND:
I think a lot of that is going to come into play if we enforce the fact that they've got to be listed on their liability -garage liability insurance. If they're selling two cars a year and they've got 15, 20, 50 salesmen listed, by the time they pay the premium, they're going to stop and think, well, wait a minute, I'm trying to save myself, my friend, my family, whatever, I'm going to save them from having to register that vehicle. But by the time I turn around and include these people on my insurance, my premium just goes through the roof. And they're going to stop and say, wait a minute, maybe, I really don't need to have all these plates.

That gets back to the -- forcing the requirement that they have that proof of liability insurance with all the listed salesmen in the vehicle as being operated by that salesman. I mean, you're stopping people out here all hours of the day and night and you're trying to figure out, well, is this a
listed person or not? I had one of them call me eleven o'clock Saturday night, Central PD, because he knows I do all this. He called me, look, I've got a five-day plate that's registered or is written up to expire on -- he was actually showing an issue date, I'm sorry, $2 / 7$ of '20. And it's a dealer out of Kenner. So I'm going to be getting with Stacy and we're going to deal with him on that. But, you know. MS. BARON:

I was talking to one of the insurance companies last week and she said it costs the dealer anywhere from 600 to $\$ 1,000$ to add somebody to their insurance. So, hopefully, that will curb it a little bit.

MR. PEDERSON:
That's cheap.
MS. BARON:
That's cheap. And she said -- I
said, well, what happens if the dealer has all these people on the insurance, and then they let them go or whatever? And she said, if they want to take them off their insurance, they have to prove to us that they've gone to Motor Vehicle and turned in the plate that was for
that salesperson. And they bring something to us showing that they are no longer employed. So that one insurance company is helping with all of this, you know, to make sure that, you know, the dealer plate that was assigned to that particular salesperson has been turned in, and then they'll take them off the insurance. MR. HALLACK:

But we've also heard about how
lucrative it is for these people to rent out these plates.

MR. OLAVE:
Well, I mean, we're -- I think we're
adding cumbersome elements to verifications here and they may be warranted. Don't get me wrong. I just -- I think there's a much simpler way of doing it. And in Monroe, you know, I've been working -- I've work for franchise car dealers, used car dealers, what-have-you. And $I$-- again, I'm not sure if this is one of the applications for the dealer tags, but even from a franchise dealer standpoint, when a customer would come in with a vehicle -- used vehicle and they had an issue with it and you put them in a loaner vehicle,
you give them a vehicle while you're repairing their vehicle -- we always give them a vehicle with a dealer tag. So how does that apply if you get pulled over and you have an insurance card with all the licensed salespeople on it, but it's a consumer who's got a loaner car, because their vehicle is in the shop that they just bought and it broke? MR. ALLMOND:

And going back to the time that the officer is going to try and be thorough, he's going to be calling the dealership, hey, what's the deal with this dealer plate? This person is not a dealer. He's not an employee. Oh, well, their car is being worked on, you know. Commonsense.

MR. OLAVE:
A cumbersome approach to it.
MR. ALLMOND:
Right.
MR. OLAVE:
You can do all of that.
MR. ALLMOND:
Well, that's what I'm saying. Nine
times out of ten, they won't do it.

MR. OLAVE:
Yes.
MR. ALLMOND:
But, you know --
MR. OLAVE:
And I'd offer to Ms. Jarreau, that may or may not be a legitimate reason to have more dealer tags. And, you know, from the industry standpoint, just one scenario is, you know, an independent used car dealer has an option when a customer comes back. If you sign the buyer's guide and, you know, disclose anything you had to disclose, you have an opportunity there to tell the customer, I can't fix it, I can't help you, go on your merry way. And that's really not -- you know, if you can afford to do it, you do it, because it's good business. Well, what do you do in the meantime when that consumer has to get to work and different things like that? That may be a legitimate reason to have a dealer tag, to put in them in another vehicle and, you know, send them on their way.

MS. JARREAU:
And, truthfully, in my mind, that
vehicle would be in your inventory to be sold, which means it would technically be eligible to have a dealer plate on it and be operated in that capacity, you know. I haven't yet, at least, had anybody say, you know, the number of plates that you guys are allowed is prohibited because of me having to use it in that case. Because I don't know -- you know, inventory -how much inventory a dealer has, has always been one of those gray areas for, I think, y'all as well as us. But I haven't come across, to my knowledge at least, a dealer that has an inventory so large that they can loan out so many vehicles that it would conflict with the number of dealer plates that you are allowed, at least not yet, so. But that may be one of those things that going forward, we may have to address.

MR. WATTS:
Well, $I$ know in the new car business world, they've got rental programs of their own fleet.

MS. JARREAU:
Correct.
MR. WATTS:

They don't put a lot of dealer plates on customer service cars.

MS. JARREAU:
Right.
MR. WATTS:
They go rent -- they'll go rent a
car.
MR. OLAVE:
Drack units -- Drack units now in the franchise world have dealer tags on all of them.

MR. WATTS:
The dealers $I$ fool with don't. I
don't know about -- I know they got -- because their insurance is so high. MR. OLAVE:

But that's new car franchises, too -MR. WATTS:

Yes, yes.
MR. OLAVE:
-- which I don't think are included
in this rule. MS. JARREAU:

I mean, I do -- I do know of a couple of dealers that have dealer plates on their
loaner type vehicles, not necessarily their rental vehicles if they're going to put somebody in a car for a week or a month or whatever. Those are handled separately. But they do have true loaner vehicles, hey, I know you're getting an oil change, we're going to give you a loaner, and you get to work and bring it back this afternoon. Those they do use dealer plates on. And I -- and I haven't come across any issues with those yet. Doesn't mean there isn't any. It just means -MR. OLAVE:

Yes, ma'am. But we're still feeling
the effects of the rule change, too, so. MS. JARREAU:

Right.
MR. DONNELL:
You know, it's kind of, like, if
Karen St. Germain breaks down in Winnsboro, she's going to call Ricky. And she's going to really want a dealer plate instead of that in-transit, because Mississippi, when she's going back home, respects that plate more than that tag.

MS. JARREAU:

That's true.
MR. MARTINEZ:
Excuse me. With all due respect, I'm in the in-house financing business. If my cars happen to break, then the only way my customer is going to pay is as long as the car is rolling out on the streets. We normally get eight plates a year. We have four salesmen. I keep four sales -- I mean, sales license plates in the event. A lot of times, my customer comes to repair that car and $I$ put them in a loaner for a day or two. I have a perfect example. A customer brought me a Cadillac, 2006. We happened to lost the key -- one of my employees lost the key, brought it to the Cadillac place in September. GMC was on strike. I just got the car last week out of the shop. Just to avoid suing and all that, I put a -- picked a car out of my lot, not to worry about it. I'm going to gas up your tank as long as you -- as long as I can deliver your car back, just to keep peace in the family. I feel very restricted in what y'all are trying to say. But, also, if I'm blamed for something, take me to jail, do everything
necessary, but don't penalize the good guys for what the bad guys do. In my opinion, we should have a little bit of relief. New franchise people have -- new franchise dealers have a number of plates. We are not asking for massive plates. Audit us, whatever is necessary, but just give us an opportunity, allow us to make money and pay taxes to you guys. That's all $I$ have to say. MR. OLAVE:

Thank you.
MR. WATTS:
With that being said, any more
questions? Answers? Discussion?
MR. HALLACK:
Just so everybody knows, we had a meeting where we approached Office of Motor Vehicles and asked for five plates, one for each salesperson and the response was, three plus one. In Mr. Martinez's situation, he went from having unlimited plates to then only having four. And, now, he -- it sounds like he -- the max he can get is seven, because he has four salespeople. So, I mean, I just want to let everybody know how it works, where we are,
how we got to where we are today. MR. WATTS:

And I think that comes in a paragraph that you can suggest more plates, you know, if it ever goes through and they'll look at it. If they bring four before Jill and look at it and say, she knows Rudy now, you know, put a name with a face. MS. JARREAU:

And that is what we would suggest. In that situation, if you feel that there's something that we have not addressed, then you need to put a detailed justification in writing, send it up, so that we can take that under advisement, look at it, see if it's a situation that -- how do we need to deal with that situation? If there's some other avenue other than a dealer plate that's better geared toward that situation? Or do we need to revisit the amount of dealer plates that we've allowed? Or just allow the exception in that case. So that's what $I$ would suggest in that situation.

MS. BARON:
Is there an email address where they
need to send that letter or do you want them to send it --

MS. JARREAU:
It has to be in writing on
letterhead.
MS. BARON:
To that P.O. Box?
MS. JARREAU:
Absolutely.
MS. BARON:
Okay.
MS. JARREAU:
Or they can put it on letterhead in writing and drop it off. It's entirely up to them.

MR. HALLACK:
I just want to point out one other thing. Mr. Taylor had brought this up in our discussions with Office of Motor Vehicles, that there's a provision within the law that allows a dealer to provide cars to educators. And I don't know how that works. But whatever cars you send to an educator, you can put a dealer inventory plate on it. And Mr. Taylor -actually, he said in our discussion, he
actually does that. He provides dealer cars to educators, specifically the professors out at ULM. So how does that work?

MS. JARREAU:
And that's actually a subsection of that dealer plate law that's authorized specifically in statute. So that would be one of those examples where you would say, look, I have this many dealer plates that I'm entitled to get, but $I$ do this, which is outside of that and it's authorized by law. So I need an additional three only for those vehicles, which helps us and you, because we can document that you have a legitimate -- because they're tax exempt. So you have a legitimate purpose or need for those and they're in this capacity and you're taking into account that piece of the law. So we will all have that documented for taxability purposes, for use purposes, all those things. So that is a legitimate exception to that -- to that limit.

MR. ALLMOND:
In that scenario there, he's got a
vehicle. He's using it for educational purposes or whatever. The garage liability
insurance for the dealer is in play. So if they have a wreck in it, it goes against the garage or the dealer's insurance.

MR. HALLACK:
Sure.
MR. ALLMOND: And after that happens a few times, you know, the dealer is going to wake up and say, no, I'm going to quit doing that, you know.

MS. JARREAU:
There are very few people that I know that do that, probably, primarily for that reason.

MS. BARON:
He's the only one I've ever heard of.
MR. ALLMOND:
Like I said, insurance is going to
take care of a lot of this, you know, when they
start having to pay out the wazoo to have all
these extra plates and people.
MR. HALLACK:
But, you know, they start checking
that to your insurance.
MR. ALLMOND:

Huh?
MR. HALLACK:
You don't have to report that to your insurance. You don't have to report that you have cars in your inventory that are being let out to educators.

MR. ALLMOND:
No, no. You don't have to report it to them, but if they have a wreck, the claim is going to go against the dealer's inventory. MR. WATTS:

I don't know -- I don't know about that, how the insurance works. Everybody has their own insurance. That doesn't concern us. MS. BARON:

I'm still having numerous calls about
the temp tag database. Is there a specific person -- is Gerald over that or?

MS. JARREAU:
No. He's actually not.
MS. BARON:
Okay.
MS. JARREAU:
It falls -- it falls under Lauren's section now.

MS. BARON:
Okay. I've got your number.
MS. JARREAU:
Yes. I'm not aware at this point of any issues other than, maybe, like, password resets, that kind of stuff.

MS. BARON:
Okay.
MS. JARREAU:
But there shouldn't be any impacted functionality on that database. MR. WATTS:

All right. Any more questions?
MR. OLAVE:
I've got a lot more, but just not right now. MR. FLOYD:

About the temp tags, I have a situation where $I$ put in a number and it has already been used. So what are you guys doing to stop this? What's happening is, my notary told me, a dealer may have a temp tag. He'll put the number in and instead of looking back to see if he got it right, he just re-writes that same number. That number happens to be
one of my numbers. I've got a picture. It says that my temp tag is no good, but it is good. I have it in my hand. So he says, there's a problem that needs to be corrected. So I don't know if you know about it or not. MS. JARREAU:

We've had that happen a few times in
the past. The current dealer -- so, currently, the way we sell dealer temp tags is through a very antiquated system. There is no inventory module currently. We are actually in the process of rebuilding that system, which would address -- because that was our primary concern initially when we brought up the temp tag database. We wanted to have an inventory module, which would eliminate problems of that nature. I mean, you may still accidentally enter it yourself, but as far as another dealer accidentally entering that number, it won't occur in new iteration. The problem is at the point that we launched the temp tag database, the first thing that we had to address was getting that information out on NCIC -- well, inlet, so that law enforcement would have access to it. That did lead to some unintended
consequences like that. But if -- we haven't heard that happen but, maybe, two or three times.

MR. FLOYD:
It's happened to me three times. I have three tags. MR. OLAVE:

I've actually had that same occasion more than once. MR. FLOYD:

So, in Texas, dealers have their own printer. They print their own tag. It comes out of your system so you know what dealer has that number, but.

MS. JARREAU:
I've been wanting to do print on demand for, probably, seven years, not just the temp tags, but $I$ can think of all kinds of things that $I$ want to do print on demand for. The problem is, you've got to find something that's not cost prohibitive to anybody. So that's definitely something that we want to do and have discussed internally as opposed to selling temp tags, selling lots of numbers, for lack of a better term, and allow you to print
those in-house and the security would be the unique control number at that point that would print. So it is something we're looking into. We did -- we are actually working on the temp tag database now. It's not a quick fix, but it is something we are working on. And in the interim, what $I$ could tell you is, if you come across that in this situation, one of Lauren's people really need to look into it and see what we can do to assist.

MR. FLOYD:
I can email you what happened recently and you can trace it who took my number. I mean, it will happen again to somebody at another a dealership puts the wrong number in there and they're going to take another number from another dealer.

MS. JARREAU:
If $I$ would have to guess, that's
probably all the same dealer that's using your number, just apparently has a wrong set of numbers written down. Yes, that's very unusual for four to have happened. MR. FLOYD:

I called and they said there's
nothing you can do. You can get a refund from DMV. But I purchased the tags and I have one that's trash.

MS. JARREAU:
Right. I mean, if it's one, you
know, $\$ 4$ per, but when it's a multitude, that's a problem. We'll look into it for sure. Just reach out to Lauren. MR. FLOYD:

It is not about the money. MR. OLAVE:

Thank you for coming today. MS. JARREAU:

We appreciate it. Any time. We're happy to work with you guys and we'll continue to work with y'all until we find something that works for everyone. MR. WATTS:

Yes. We enjoyed that meeting we had the other day. If we can do more of those, every once in a while, on a six-month basis just to get together and rehash. Plus we have to get the dealers to settle in and rehash again.

MS. JARREAU:

Yes. We're happy to do that.
MR. OLAVE:
One question I had: Were all the renewals from -- are all the renewals completed?

MS. JARREAU:
100 percent caught up.
MR. OLAVE:
I had some dealers that -- they
called me and they had the option of either driving on an expired tag or not taking any dealer tags.

MS. JARREAU:
Completely non-related to this issue, we did have a backlog because of the cyber incident, a pretty substantial backlog, but Commissioner St. Germain approved that office to work some overtime, specifically to catch up on those. And they did zero out their backlog the day we met, that same weekend. They stayed and complete it.

MR. BRITT:
Y'all were -- y'all -- you told us
that number that $I$ can't remember, but -MS. JARREAU:

It was almost 3,000, I want to say. MR. BRITT:

How many people did y'all have stay
that weekend?
MS. JARREAU:
Just about the whole office, huh?
MS. DEBETAZ:
Yes. Probably about -- anywhere from eight to 10 .

MS. JARREAU:
Yes. And they worked -- we happened to be off that following Monday, too. So they actually worked through the weekend and Monday and got it caught up. So anybody who -- so anybody who hasn't been reviewed at this point, it's either because we didn't receive it -- it wasn't sitting in the backlog. Either we didn't receive it as of that point or it's -they should have all received them by now back out through the mail. Well, any time y'all need anything, y'all are more than welcome to reach out.

MR. WATTS:
All right. We'll take about a five-minute recess.

MR. WATTS:
Financial matters. Here's Mona.
MS. ANDERSON:
I just want to say before we start that they were talking about dealer plates being -- their request being delayed. At least once or twice a week, we get a packet of dealer plates mailed to us. And so we send that back to them and -- with a letter saying who it needs to go to. They just mail it to us, because they've put a copy of the license with it, but our address is on there. So it comes to us in error. So if they did that, then that's going to delay their plate. And if it comes back -- it has come back more than once to us, the same person, and if it does that, then $I$ personally call them and try to find out, you know, if somebody in their office that's new or whatever that doesn't know where this needs to go. But we send it back with a letter that says -- that states where it needs to go.

MR. WATTS:
Well, hopefully, in the future --

David mentioned this, not us -- that we'll be -- take over the dealer plate section. Until they do the math on it, I don't think we want to do that. But, maybe, we can do it as a fee, charge them and they'll pay us to handle it or something like -- something where we'll have complete control of the dealer plate and all this is going to go away.

MS. ANDERSON:
If you'll turn in your packets to the
financial statements for December 2019. The operating account balance is $\$ 2,420,522$. The accounts receivable fines increase were $\$ 541,165$. All of the noncurrent assets were the same. At the bottom of the page and up on page 2, the current liabilities were $\$ 96,609$. And long-term liabilities increased to $\$ 4,811,625$. December is the last month that you're going to see the 2020 revenue appear on the statement of net position. That money will be moved to -- it will be fully earned as of $1 / 1$ and it has been transferred to revenue and will appear on next month's statement.

On pages 3 through 5 is the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net
position. The year-to-date revenues were \$1,046,756. Salaries -- on page 4, salaries and related benefits were $\$ 27,421$ higher than the prior year, mainly due to retirement benefitting increases. The remainder of the year-to-date expenses were about $\$ 4,500$ higher than the previous year. We've had a number of issues with the building maintenance of doors. We had some doors that split. We had vehicle electrical problems that were considerable that had to be repaired. And batteries on two vehicles.

On page 5, the change in net position was a positive $\$ 82,467$ for the month and $\$ 377,078$ year to date. On page 6 is the four-year revenue comparison and the -- this year's revenue compares positively with the '17/'18 revenue due primarily to fines. But the license revenues is negative with an average decrease of 11 percent. Moving on to the graph on page 8, this shows the number of new and renewal licenses for the fiscal year to date for the past four years. On page 9, the certificate of deposit summary, there were no changes in that report for December.

MR. BRITT:
I've got a question. How do you shop that?

MS. ANDERSON:
We have a list of state banks that -fiscal agents for the state and we search those and find. Pretty much, I think Sheri had told us that Bank One has been a leader for some time in the interest rates and -- but I'll tell you, we placed those two that you see for January and the rates for this year are going down. So we'll be investigating other avenues, other banks. Sometimes, when you -- when I spoke to St. Francisville, they quoted one rate and I told them I got a better rate at Bank One and they went back and came up with a better rate. So it's a little bit of a game, okay. So on page 10, the accounts receivable hearings report, $\$ 6,900$ was assessed in December and we collected $\$ 31,429$. The accounts receivable balance at the end of December was $\$ 541,165$. And so unless there are any questions, Mr. Vice-Chairman, that concludes my report on the financial statements of December.

MR. WATTS:
Thank you. We need a motion.
MR. DONNELL:
So moved.
MR. BRITT:
Second.
MR. WATTS:
Next on the agenda, general
discussion. We did the dealer plates. LUMVC staffing, any comments?

MR. PARNELL:
I think Commissioner Donnell has something.

MR. DONNELL:
I've talked to several board members.
I've had problems myself of reaching this office or getting through this automated system, navigating through the automated phone system we have. And I just would like to ask if we could go back to the way it was before we got this phone system and have a live person answer the phone and direct calls?

MR. PARNELL:
Okay. We -- when we put this phone system in place, the general intent was to try
to modernize what we're doing day to day in our functionality. This phone system has been in place since eight months ago -- eight or nine months ago. We find that it works far better for us here in the office moving through the renewal process. We've processed 4,000 -- a little bit over 4,000 renewals during the last 2 1/2 months.

There are some issues. I get that. I do not believe and I do not think hiring someone who just does -- is a receptionist is going to be beneficial for one reason. When we put the system in place, we kind of put it in place to operate as it is right now. We've met as managers and sat down, what is working? What's not working? Moving forward -- what it doesn't have right now is zero for operator. So moving forward, I'm going to incorporate that within the module that's out there. And so, therefore, persons will be able to get directed to somebody immediately. And I think that solves the issue. I don't think going backwards helps our productivity. MR. DONNELL:

My next -- my nest question was: Did
we let somebody go after we got this new phone system?

MR. PARNELL:
No. We actually added people.
MR. DONNELL:
Okay.
MR. OLAVE:
Listen, I can -- I can -- I can, you know, weigh things equally, you know. The modernization is wonderful. Personally, I like to have a person on the other end of the line as well. And, again, it doesn't defeat the modernization. And not to get off into specific cases, but if the modernization doesn't facilitate a return call or fixing whatever problem that the consumer or the dealer is calling about, I'm not sure that a live person would be able to solve that problem either, but it does help when you have somebody that you've actually spoken to. And you and I have spoken. We've had -- I've had some dealers that get a little frustrated, because they feel like they've complied with the request for fixes and licenses and things like that.

MR. PARNELL:
Right.
MR. OLAVE:
And when they can't get a return call through the modernization of the system, they get really frustrated. And, like I told you, by the time they get to me, it's because they haven't been satisfied, for whatever reason. But because they haven't been satisfied here. MR. WATTS:

> Are you talking --

MR. PARNELL:
Yes. Again, like I said, what we're doing moving forward, I'm going to put the operator, zero, on there. So that is going to go directly to somebody. Somebody is going to pick up the phone.

MR. DONNELL:
Okay.
MR. PARNELL:
Also, I'm also going to put in place that when someone leaves a voicemail with someone, they're going to have a certain time frame to respond back. I think that solves the issue. I don't think it needs to go beyond
that. I think that solves that issue. MR. WATTS:

I agree.
MR. BRITT:
Well, I think -- I think you're on
the right track. But I would -- I would put -the most important one is the zero -MR. PARNELL:

Yes.
MR. BRITT:
-- where you can get an operator.
MR. PARNELL:
That will be the first thing. MR. BRITT:

Secondly, you put a time frame on when these messages are returned, I mean, within the day. And $I$ think we monitor it over the next or five months and see how it works, and then revisit it again and see if you're still getting -MR. PARNELL:

We definitely have had an influx of
calls coming in at this time, especially because of dealer plate issues. That was abnormal. That is normally not what's
happening. When they changed the policy in dealer plates, a ton of calls were coming in, specifically about dealer plates. I talked to several Commissioners and you-all have told me, the majority of calls that you were getting, if you were getting calls, was because of dealer plates. Historically, what's always happened is, the persons that are calling are the persons that submitted information late and/or did not submit applications. That's usually the person that's going to be calling you. Now, granted, you did discuss with me the issue with a compliance investigator not returning calls and I have spoken to that. So I think moving forward, it's going to be solved.

MS. BURKS:
And it's very difficult -- during
renewal time, there's so many calls, so many walk-ins, so much paperwork, it's very difficult to return every phone call, especially when the majority of them is checking the status.

MR. PARNELL:
Working on status.
MS. BURKS:

And you're really slowing up our
process and we're trying to keep all your license renewals within a $14-d a y$ period. So when you have to return all these phone calls -- yes, some of them are not -- 14-business days allowing -- most of them are status calls. But we are constantly talking to people. They call and you are on the phone all day. They skip their lunch break talking to people trying to get in, license out. So, I mean, it's -renewals, it's very, very busy.

MR. PARNELL:
It's in a short amount of time throughout our fiscal year.

MS. BARON:
14-business days.
MR. WATTS:
Some are complaints. But when a Commissioner calls --

MR. PARNELL:
Right.
MR. WATTS:
-- he wants -- he wants somebody to call him right back, because we don't want to wait. We got somebody in our ear.

MR. PARNELL:
Right, right.
MR. WATTS:
If we want to know something, let me call and find out. And, sometimes, we don't get an immediate response. And we're trying to do something, too, but.

MR. PARNELL:
I think that remedy --
MR. DONNELL:
Yes. I think people -- that operator
procedure --
MR. PARNELL:
Yes.
MR. DONNELL:
-- that's going to solve --
MR. PARNELL:
Right.
MR. DONNELL:
-- 75 percent of the problem.
MR. PARNELL:
Because her primary purpose -- she does license some people. But she licenses very few. Her primary role is to answer phones. So I already have someone in place.

But moving through the process, what we watched happening and moving forward, $I$ kind of felt what we need to do to make the changes and that's what we're going to do.

MR. WATTS:
Mona, do have anything to add?
MS. ANDERSON:
I just want to say on the old system, I personally saw that the three people up front each had in one day 90 calls on their voicemail. You can't -- you can't write that down. You can't call back that in a week. So, you know.

MR. PARNELL:
And the thing --
MR. DONNELL:
That was during renewal time.
MR. PARNELL:
Yes. What I love about the system now that we have in place is that, you know, the staff members are not stuck to their desk now. They literally all have phone pieces that they can walk around in the office and they can talk to whoever is calling in on their line at their desk. If you're on the line with
somebody, if you noticed a little while ago, something popped up on Kim's email. If we -if you get a voicemail, it pops up, you know. So it's going to be helpful. And I think when we put -- implement the zero and implement some of the other rules that we're going to put in place, I think it's --

MR. BRITT:
Let's watch it and revisit it four or five months from now.

MR. WATTS:
The best thing to do is, Ricky don't call.

MR. OLAVE:
Look, I know you are in jest about
that, but, you know, I told Derek, my name and my cell phone number are on the website. So when a consumer calls me, I don't know them. Dealers call me, I may or may not know them. But how do -- how do I respond when a dealer calls me and says their license isn't renewed, do I need to shut my doors, because I don't have a license? No fault of the Commission. I'm just saying they were missing documents, but couldn't verify that the documents that
they sent in that they were missing. So my question again, maybe, somewhat rhetorical, is: How do I respond to that other than contacting the Commission?

MR. PARNELL:
Contact me.
MR. WATTS:
Well, in our packets, we get what we need to get our dealer's license. So most of that is their own fault if they have missing documents. I'm not putting the blame on this --

MR. OLAVE:
I can give you specifics. They
actually -- they claimed they sent -- when I got contacted, they claimed they sent the missing documents in, but couldn't verify that the missing documents had gotten in. They waited. They didn't call until January. When they didn't get their license, they weren't able to get through to verify whether or not they needed to resend them in or that it was in process or anything. So they kind of -- they were kind of in limbo.

MR. PARNELL:

Since I've been here, the one thing I've also tried to do is have the dealers be more responsible for what they are doing as it relates to their renewal process. As you just said, we put out all information. You know exactly what you're supposed to have with your dealer packet when you submit it in. But the majority -- not the majority, a lot of dealers that are not new, dealers that have been in place 10, 15, 20 years, piecemeal their application process. They send an application. A month later or a few days later, they send another document. There's four documents that you're supposed to send. Send that in and you're going to get your license within the proper time frame. There's a specific dealer that submitted his information the day after, the dealer in question that you're talking about, and this dealer got his whole license -because he submitted everything properly, he got his license within a week. MR. OLAVE: Understood. MR. PARNELL:

I agree with what Vice-Chairman Watts
said. A lot of it is the dealer who's not submitting things properly. Now, granted, can we fix some of the processes? Yes. And that's what I'm doing.

MR. OLAVE:
But I'm not of the -- I'm not of the opinion that we need to scold a dealer that doesn't --

MR. PARNELL:
That does comply?
MR. OLAVE:
Well, no, no, no, no. That should not do it piecemeal. In this particular case -- and this is -- I hate to use this as a microcosm for a bigger thing, but, you know, in this particular case, the dealer contacted me and he did admit that he didn't include the bond or something else.

MR. PARNELL:
He submitted a bond, but he didn't submit the right bond amount. But we changed that law about four years ago about a bond. And for him not to know to submit something as old law, whereas, he did the proper thing in years past.

MR. OLAVE:
I get it. No problem. And he understood his mistake and sent a return. He fixed it -- claiming he fixed it and sent it in, but then, again, when he didn't get his license, couldn't verify if he needed to send it again, because they didn't receive it or whatever, that's, again, back to --

MR. PARNELL:
I also want you to know that when we receive documents in, everything is placed in date order. So, for example, if I'm a dealer and $I$ submit everything on this date, I'm -- my 14 days of business day turn around starts then. But if I'm a dealer who submitted part of my information and another part of my information after a letter has gone out to me, your dealer -- your date starts over here, you know. That's in order to keep track and make everything run smooth.

MR. WATTS:
Would it be better for them to come hand carry the stuff up here to the office if it that's big a problem?

MR. PARNELL:

Well, I mean --
MR. WATTS:
It would be --
MR. PARNELL:
-- it would be better if he submits everything in the beginning, period.

MS. BARON:
What we like to encourage them to do
is email, because it's so much -- do a date receipt, received receipt, or whatever, so we know that we got it.

MR. DONNELL:
We're dealing with 40-year dealers that don't understand that.

MS. BARON:
I know. We have those that don't do computers and all that. But, you know, there are some that can do the email and other stuff. MR. PARNELL:

Like I say, I looked at the system. I knew -- I heard all of the issues and I have heard on my own, but $I$ think once we do that, we're good to go.

MR. DONNELL:
I've got a question for Ms. Mona.

Ms. Mona --
MS. ANDERSON:
Yes.
MR. DONNELL:
-- how many -- I know Robert and Sheri is under contract. How many folks have we got working for us under contract?

MS. ANDERSON:
Betty is under contract. And we have a CPA that but we haven't been using him in a few yes since $I$ am here. But we've talked some, but not, you know, billable.

MR. DONNELL:
How many technology contracts have we got?

MS. ANDERSON: We only have one.

MR. PARNELL:
Just one.
MR. DONNELL:
One. I'd like to look at all those. MR. OLAVE:

We used -- we used to vote on all of that stuff. We used to vote on it. MS. BARON:
Y'all do still.

MR. PARNELL:
You still vote on it. You have voted on all of that.

MR. OLAVE:
Well, let me ask you: Don't we renew
the attorneys's contracts every year? MR. PARNELL:

Is it every year? No.
MS. ANDERSON:
Yes. We do a full contract every
three years, and then in between that, we do three years and we extend it. That's state. MR. DONNELL:

I'd like to look at them.
MS. BARON:
All of them are every three years.
And then are all of them -- are all of them every three years?

MS. ANDERSON:
Yes. Every three years, we have to do a full contract. It's pretty much the same contract, but that's a state process. The state oversees it. There's no -- you have to go into the online system and upload all the
documents and all the information. Ask Robert how much information they ask of the contractors. They -- the state makes sure that you don't owe the state any -- anything to Department of Revenue. You know, all of that gets uploaded to the state, and then they approve that contract. It's quite a process. We start, you know, well in advance of the expiration.

MR. DONNELL:
I just didn't remember voting on any.
I just want to take a look at them.
MR. BRITT:
We've renewed their contracts since I was on the Commission, because $I$ read both of their contracts. I'm pretty sure. For some reason, $I$ read both of their contracts. I don't know.

MS. MORRIS:
It's a required form that the AG's Office uses. It has to be approved by the Attorney General, Civil Service, and the Division of Administration.

MS. BARON:
All three.

MR. WATTS:
While we're on the discussion,
Chairman Britt, I want to bring up identification cards for Commissioners.

MR. BRITT:
They were discussed after the last meeting about if -- let's say next meeting, everybody wear what they want their picture in. We'll get a -- y'all have got an office camera, don't you? Or we'll bring a camera. MR. PARNELL:

We use the phone.
MR. BRITT:
Well, I need it on my -- on my jump drive. One of you young guys tell me. I mean one of those little cards.

MR. WATTS:
And the reason being is, when we talk
to so many people so you can say I'm a Commissioner. It helps out a lot.

MR. BRITT:
What I did today -- and I came in
early to check in the hotel and $I$ got asked for my -- for my ID. I had to give them one of my other IDs. And I thought about it. You know,
what if one of the other guys didn't have another one like me and a couple of other guys have, you know, and he goes somewhere and they ask for it. And the other thing -- and I think we can get this corrected -- but when they do our oath of offices, you're suppose to get -and I'm sure you've got one, Derek, from the Secretary of State's Office. Do you have one?

MR. PARNELL:
No.
MR. BRITT:
We can get that corrected.
MS. MORRIS:
You should have an employee ID.
MR. PARNELL:
I have an employee ID number.
MR. BRITT:
Yes, but no ID card. But we can -but we can -- we can get those printed. We get LSP to do it or $I$ can take it back to one of the sheriff's offices and we can -- we can do it, because a lot of them have those machines now. It's so modern. Used to be back in my day, originally, it was a big deal, you know. You had to make your own. You had to hire
somebody to come in and do it. Now, they can do it just with that little -- everybody's picture. We can do it.

MR. WATTS:
All right. Well, let's move right along. Ratification of civil penalties. MR. PARNELL:

All right. Commissioners, you'll find in your packet a chart that illustrates the licensees that were in violation of state law. These cases have been investigated and it was determined that public interest can be served without further administrative proceedings. Thus civil penalties were administered. I will announce the names as usual.

Do we have anyone present for any of the licensees?

MS. BARON:
I will check. No, sir.
MR. PARNELL:
C \& K Automotive, LLC, from Baton
Rouge, Louisiana, violation fine amount is
\$950. Bruce's Auto Sales, Incorporated, from Lafayette, Louisiana, fine amount is \$450.

Riverside Automotive, LLC, from Plaquemine, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 1,200$. Pointe Coupee Auto Sales, LLC, from Baker, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 1,200$. Kirk Melancon, doing business as, Kirk's Auto Sales, from Lafayette, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 800$. Tony's Auto Sales, Incorporated, doing business as, Boulevard Motors, from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 300$. JP Enterprises SWLA, LLC, doing business as JP Auto Sales, from Lake Charles, Louisiana, fine amount is \$200. Houston Motors of Louisiana, LLC, from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 1,500$. SW Auto, LLC, from Lafayette, Louisiana, fine amount is \$650. Wilson Auto Sales, LLC, from New Orleans, Louisiana, fine amount is \$450. Jennifer Escher, doing business as Live Oak Motor Works, from Denham Springs, Louisiana, fine amount is \$500. Jermaine Anthony, doing business as Anthony's Auto Sales, from New Iberia, Louisiana, fine amount is \$300. Redline Motors, LLC, from West Monroe, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 3,200$. KADA Used Car Sales, LLC, from Abbeville, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 600$. 1st Stop Auto, LLC, from

Slidell, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 600$. Roy King, Sr., doing business as King's Auto Sales, from Shreveport, Louisiana, fine amount is \$250. Barnesbiz, LLC, doing business as Auto Correct, from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 350$. JP Enterprises SWLA, LLC, doing business as JP Auto Sales, from Lake Charles, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 300$. The total amount of fines that were imposed is \$13, 800 .

Commissioners, I ask that you ratify the imposed civil penalties assessed. MR. OLAVE:

I have a question about Redline Motor Cars. I have a question about Redline. That's a lot of non-delivery of titles. What did -we shouldn't have had a hearing or something over that or?

MR. PARNELL:
With this alone, no. What I'll have him do is schedule an audit to go out and take a look at the -MR. OLAVE:

Do you know the circumstances? Have the consumers been satisfied?

MR. PARNELL:
Not offhand. I can call you back. MR. DONNELL:

I know the guy.
MR. BRITT:
Is that --
MR. DONNELL:
It's David Albritton.
MS. BARON:
David Albritton.
MR. DONNELL:
The one that's got all the Jeeps. Now, he's telling me that he's worked it out with his floor planner and they got their titles. So, undoubtedly, they may have. Because y'all haven't gotten any calls, have you?

MR. WATTS:
How long -- how long ago was that?
MR. DONNELL:
Probably, five or six months ago.
MR. WATTS:
Last year? Six months?
MR. DONNELL:
Yes.

MR. PARNELL:
Montie took care of it?
MS. BARON:
I think Montie took care of all
those.
MR. DONNELL:
I think he did.
MS. BARON:
He's taken care of all those.
They've all been taken care of, if I'm not mistaken, or they're in the process of being taken care of.

MR. BRITT:
Do you want to table that one?
MR. DONNELL:
We can. We can. And let Montie look
into it. But, yes, we can.
MR. HALLACK:
Is he still in business?
MS. BARON:
Yes. He is still in business, but
somebody else is running his dealership. MR. DONNELL:

Because he's actually working for another dealer.

MS. BARON:
I know. Yes, he is.
MR. WATTS:
He's still got a license in his name? MS. BARON:

Yes.
MR. WATTS:
Okay.
MS. BARON:
Montie is on top of the situation. And he's in the process of writing up the -there will be the case report and everything. MR. OLAVE:

One more question. I'm just curious. JP Enterprises, I've never seen that, making an unsubstantiated claim regarding the dealership, one count. I've never seen that violation before.

MR. HALLACK:
It could be advertising.
MR. PARNELL:
It's an advertising violation. What
it is, specifically, $I$ cannot tell you right now. I can later, though, when $I$ go back and research it.

MS. BARON:
David is in the process of -- he wanted to know if he could make payment arrangements with Mr. Parnell. And Mr. Parnell talked -- Mr. Parnell agreed to that and he has paid all but 1500 of his fine. But he is still in business and he has one or two salespeople that work for him. He's got Mary Bridges and Alan Inland is in the process of getting his license renewed.

MR. HALLACK:
You can have a dealership and be a salesperson at another dealership?

MS. BARON:
As long as you're not a salesperson at your own dealership, yes. He was working for Commissioner Taylor. And, now, he's gone to --

MR. DONNELL:
LA Auto Sales.
MS. BARON:
Thank you. LA Auto Sales.
MR. OLAVE:
I'm actually glad you brought that up as -- not to get off on a tangent. Let's
finish this and I'd like to make a comment. MR. WATTS:

One other comment: I see monthly -failing to submit monthly reports. Now, is the LIADA telling y'all dealers they've got to do this?

MS. DOMANGUE:
We called them and we sent it out in emails. We've been pretty active in discussing this every opportunity.

MR. WATTS:
Well, it comes up every meeting as a problem. We don't go out looking for it. MS. DEBETAZ:

If it's, like, a few days before the
20th, I have it programmed in my calendar and I talk to them about it at the auction -MR. WATTS:

Yes.
MS. DEBETAZ:
-- you know, make sure you submit
your reports. I don't know why it's so difficult.

MR. WATTS:
Well, I hate to fine them for
something like that, you know.
MS. DOMANGUE:
It will print their report. All they have to do is make sure they get in their temp tag number --

MR. WATTS: Yes.

MS. DEBETAZ:
-- and it will print the report for them. All they have to do is get it notarized and sent in. And the address is printed on the top of the report. MR. WATTS:

Well, some of these I talked to -well, they thought they were -- I did an online temp tag and they know when I sold the car. I said, no, it is a different ball game. MS. DOMANGUE:

Right. They think that is it. They think they are double working them is what they think.

MR. WATTS:
Maybe, that will get it fixed. MR. OLAVE:

We need to ratify whatever --

MR. WATTS:
We'll ratify -- make a motion to
ratify.
MR. OLAVE:
Are we -- are we excluding that one?
MR. WATTS:
Are we excluding Redline?
MR. PARNELL:
I think that is what you suggested until the next commission meeting.

MR. BRITT:
Let's move Redline to the next meeting and let's find out what all is going on there before we move forward with Redline. MR. PARNELL:

Whether the consumers have been -MR. BRITT:

Whether the consumers have been whole is most important. Two, if there's been any type of other investigation going on, because from my standpoint, when you start seeing that, something else is going on. We just find that out, and then we'll move forward.

MS. BARON:
I know for a fact that he got out of
trust with his -- with his floor planner and that's what caused the problem.

MR. WATTS:
That will do it.
MS. BARON:
That will do it every time. If you do it correctly, then you won't have a problem. But if you get behind by one payment, you're done.

MR. BRITT:
My two things: I want to make sure
the consumers are whole. MS. BARON:

Okay.
MR. BRITT:
And, two, that there's nothing else going on, nobody else is investigating anything else. And Montie will know both. And we can just move that to the next. Do I need to put that in the form of a motion?

MS. BARON:
I've got it right here.
MR. BRITT:
Okay.
MR. WATTS:

So that's a motion. So I need -MR. DONNELL:

Second. Second.
MR. WATTS:
Mr. Donnell seconds.
MS. BARON:
As far as the unsubstantiated thing, he made -- he's making a unsubstantiated claim regarding the dealership as being the largest or biggest dealer and being the number one dealer in an area. So he was making these sort of claims that he couldn't substantiate and that was -- it's an advertising violation. MR. DONNELL:

So we need to get a motion and second to ratify? MR. WATTS:

I make a motion to ratify.
MR. SMITH:
Second.
MR. WATTS:
And a second by Darty.
And, Steve, you had some comments?
MR. OLAVE:
Yes. Maybe -- remember, actually,
when Robert brought up he was surprised that he could be licensed at more than one salesperson -- at more than one dealership. And I've always been curious. I've had a personal reason, also, never brought it up, but I've always been curious as to why that rule was changed and what is the reasoning behind it? And I'll offer my personal experiences.

I've had investments in several dealerships. Well, cars here and cars there, you know, moving cars, consignment, whatever you want to say. And what has happened is -and I found that rule out, because $I$ don't that was part of the rule changes. Because you used to be able to be licensed by more than one dealership, if $I$ remember correctly. That's -MS. BARON:

I -- ever since I've been here, you
could only be -- now, if the -- if it was all owned under one corporation, like, if it was an LLC doing as business whatever, then you could. But if it's different dealers, I've never been told that you could.

MR. OLAVE:
Again, then, what $I$ have is, maybe,
not significant. But, you know, I've had the occasion to have one dealership where I'm licensed as a salesperson, but have other vehicles at another place that $I$ cannot talk to a customer about, because I'm not licensed at this other dealership. So, again, on a personal basis, it's inhibited my ability to do that and I'm just curious as to why that was a rule and why is it -- is it that important? MS. BARON:

Was it a rule before -- I mean, prior to 20 years ago? Because, I mean, I've been here for 17,18 years and it's always been the same, that you could only be a dealer at one -have one dealer -- have one salesperson license.

MR. OLAVE:
Well, you could be licensed as a new car dealership and a used car dealership. MS. BARON:

Yes. You can. That's two different -- two separate commissions.

MR. OLAVE:
Again, what -- no, no, understood. Why -- I understand the two commissions is the
reason that's available.
MS. BARON:
Right.
MR. OLAVE:
But if that's available and doesn't cause the public any injury, why wouldn't we let more -- just, again, for conversation only, just why couldn't we have --

MS. BARON:
It would be a bear to keep up with as
far as to make sure you're on the right dealership or you're at the right dealership giving information about the right cars. If you're a salesperson at two or three -- but if you're a salesperson at two or three different dealerships and you're at this dealership -you're at Tony's dealership trying to sell a car and they're, like, well, that's not the car I want, I want a car like this or whatever, and you go, well, at the other dealership where I work, and you're not there. But at the other dealership where $I$ work, $I$ can get you something like that. Well, you're injuring this dealer, because you're taking business away from him.

MR. PARNELL:
Maybe, that was why.
MR. OLAVE:
No. But, again, to use your example,
if $I$ work for Tony, Tony would know that $I$ work -- also work for another dealership.

MS. BARON:
Right. But he wouldn't necessarily
know that you were taking business from his dealership and putting it over at the other dealership. So, you know, and it would happen. MR. HALLACK:

But we can do David Albritton that way, because he can have his own dealership where he's his own -- obviously, he has a salesperson license at his own dealership. MS. BARON:

No. He does not. He just owns the dealership, but he does have a salesperson license.

MR. HALLACK:
But he's a salesperson at another dealership.

MR. OLAVE:
A franchise dealer? Another used
dealer?
MS. BARON:
Another used dealer, yes.
MR. HALLACK:
But we won't let a salesperson do that. We'll let a dealer do it?

MS. BARON:
If they don't want to have a -- if they don't want to have a salesperson license at Tony's and they want to go to this other dealership, that's fine. David Albritton does not have two salespeople licenses. He has one salesperson license. He owns Redline Motors, but he works at a different dealership.

MR. HALLACK:
Well, at the dealership, Redline Motors, he doesn't automatically get a salesperson license?

MS. BARON:
No. He does not.
MR. OLAVE:
But, Kim, if we play your train of thought out and using the Tony example, and the idea of the spirit of the license is to protect Tony from me being licensed at another
dealership and sending customers over there, well, I can be licensed at Tony and own another dealership and send those same customers to my own dealership, which doesn't protect Tony whatsoever.

MR. WATTS:
Ratification of revocations.
MR. PARNELL:
You'll find in your packet another chart that illustrates licensees that have been revoked.

Do we have anyone present?
MS. BARON:
No. We do not.
MR. PARNELL:
All right. Bayou State Auto Sales,
Zachary, Louisiana, notice of revocation, 11/26 of '19. Graceland Auto Sales, LLC, doing business as Graceland Auto Sales, from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, notice of revocation is 11/26 of '19. Imports, Etc, LLC, from Metairie, Louisiana, notice of revocation $10 / 3$ of '19. Kilbride Cars, LLC, from Hammond, Louisiana, notice of revocation 11/26 of '19. You Auto Buy, West Monroe, Louisiana, notice of
revocation 10/2 of 2019. Commissioners, I ask that you ratify the revocation of the dealers I have just announced.

MR. WATTS:
I need a motion.
MR. DONNELL:
So moved.
MR. BRITT:
Second.
MR. WATTS:
Second by Mr. Britt.
Items for next agenda.
MR. DONNELL:
I just have one more question. If y'all see that we have more than a quorum here. And I was wanting to know why we cancelled it, and then after that, we called and we're back on? Why was it cancelled? Because we surely have a quorum.

MR. PARNELL:
We absolutely have a quorum. That's on me and I apologize to all of you if I was out of line for doing that. It won't happen again. I looked at the agenda and I saw we only had truly one item on the agenda at that
time.
MR. DONNELL:
Yes. But that was pretty big, real
important.
MR. PARNELL:
It is very important. But I also
knew we could move it anyway to the next,
because there was no --
MR. DONNELL:
I feel that we needed to be here and need to know an answer on these dealer tags, you know.

MR. PARNELL:
My apologies to all of you.
MR. DONNELL:
And I would just suggest that if we're going to do it again, maybe, you just poll these board members.

MR. PARNELL:
I'm sorry about that. It won't happen again.

MR. DONNELL:
Okay.
MR. WATTS:
All right. Time to adjourn.

MR. OLAVE: So moved.

MR. SMITH:
I'll second.
(Meeting adjourned at 11:05 a.m.) 7
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